



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,613	02/27/2004	Robert Paul Morris	I223/US	6484
49278	7590	12/13/2007	EXAMINER	
SCENERA RESEARCH, LLC			KANG, PAUL H	
111 Corning Road			ART UNIT	
Suite 220			PAPER NUMBER	
Cary, NC 27518			2144	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/788,613

Applicant(s)

MORRIS, ROBERT PAUL

Examiner

Paul H. Kang

Art Unit

2144

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Paul H. Kang, USPTO.

(3) _____

(2) John A. Demos, Reg. No. 52,809.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 06 December 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Edlund et al., USP 6,484,162 B1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art was discussed in light of the invention as claimed. Specifically, the examiner suggested more clearly identifying the scope of the invention with respect to the "resource," "relation," and "relation set." For instance, it was suggested to more clearly define the scope of the invention as depicted in Figs. 6 and 9. As currently claimed, the prior art teaches the claimed invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


PAUL H. KANG
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required